

## MACURH NRHH No- Frills Minutes 2/5/05

### **Bid Discussions:**

*USD nominates Webster for Building Block chapter, Mankato 2nd*

- pro: NW - well defined chapter goals
- con: UNL - boring to read
- pro: UNK - good format
- con: Drake - budget could be expanded
- pro: Mizzou - good community service ideas
- con: USD - some section titles are misleading
- pro : NW - recognize more then just their NRHH group
- con:
- pro:
- con:
- pro:
- con: ISU - haven't really updated anything since MACURH
- pro: NW - work well with RHA
- con:
- pro:
- con:
- pro: Mankato - after no OTM submissions they had 2 regional winners
- con:

### Discussion:

- Drake: well put together & stayed with the theme & talked about school - has built up
- KSU: still impressed from MACURH bid, they have come a long way - worthy of going to national level
- USD: talked a lot about past 4 years - is that OK? Should it just be focused on the last year?
  - \* answer - can have 2 years worth of info to show how they have grown. From NACURH to NACURH.
- UND: pg 9, working with other organizations, impressive
- Mankato: lots of community service ideas

### Vote:

### Tips:

- be consistent with putting dates/years
- headings: be clear
- chapter goals - only some are addressed, if have space address more
  - \* outline how you are going to accomplish them
  - \* talk about how not done growing
- pg 13: clarify 'etc'
- pg 13: 1st sentence is vague - clarify
  - \* whole 1st paragraph needs clarifying what trying to say
- membership selection: more detail

- talk about what do with a small budget

*Mankato nominates: Cara Meister for Outstanding Member of the Yr, Pitt 2nd*

- pro: Rollo - stepped up when pres
- con: UMR - out of date material
- pro: UNI - good theme
- con:
- pro: graphic simple, yet effective
- con: Drake - short
- pro: submits & is submitted about for OTM
- con: KSU - stuff very general with not a lot of explanation
- pt of clarify - limited to 8 pages without references
- pro: NW: conference attendance
- con:
- pro: Mankato - did executive board retreat
- con:
- pro: NW - creativity with meeting locations & attendance
- con: KSU pg 2
- pro:
- con:
- pro:
- con:
- pro:

Discussion:

- UMR: some out of date & other stuff doesn't have dates
- Webster: some letters not on letterhead
- KSU: a lot of good things, but nothing is in depth, not how many OTMs -- not quantitative
- Mizzou - it would be nice to see OTM about her
- Pitt: pg 2, general assembly - what is it
- KU - two random quotations - seem out of place
- NDSU: quotation on pg 2 - where is the woman from? Who is she?
- KU: overall grammatical & text issues --- if it goes on it needs a major overhaul
- KSU: #1 on criteria is the weakest --- overall makes you ask a lot of questions about 'how' things are done

Ways Improve:

- what is recognition day? - be specific about what it is
- format looks good, but need for in depth info
- feels like a lot of fluff
- on format on cover - NRHH graphics could be better - carry theme all the way through from cover
- needs school name on the cover
- 'splat' doesn't make sense
- fill more pages

*NW nominates Missouri for Chapter of the yr., USD 2nd*

- pro: UNI - great layout & easy to read
- con: NDSU - typos
- pro:
- con: UNL - only one letter of rec, criteria says there should be 4
- pro:
- con: drake - 3 kinds of font & size
- pro: UNL - lots of good material in appendix
- con: KSU - table of contents, but no pg numbers
- pro: Drake - like theme & incorporation
- con: NW - graphic with typing on it & can't read it when print
- pro: Mankato -
- con: UMR - lack of sub headings under section headings
- pro: SE - great detail overall
- con: UNK - more clear heading, even if on every pg - felt like term paper
- pro: KU - chapter picture
- con: NDSU - say won stuff in the future & don't call conferences
- UND -
- pro:
- con: Webster - boxes don't close
- pro: KSU - lots of specific
- con: boxes with pictures doesn't work
- pro
- con KU - writing on pictures can't see

### **RAD Conference:**

- committee restructuring: updated - resources CD, SAACURH has NRHH advisor site, recognition - working on programming resources & adding to the 101 ways to recognize
- special projects committee eliminated
  - \* for recognition: do something where there are points
  - \* do something for schools that have many years of affiliation
  - \* NRHH U for NACURH - set up by RADs & will be presenting to all NRHH members
- do bracelets like LIVESTRONG - potentially fund raiser/community service @ NACURH, maybe selling a special pin at the conferences each year
  
- legislation: several different pieces
  - 1) NRHH websites for every region --- was created & passed... Natl office will create a template for those regions without one
    - \* more links off of OTM database
    - \* through NRHH.org
    - \* NRHH natl office listserv --- right now a yahoo groups --- will go into affect at NACURH
  - 2) Natl OTM voting committee - currently 1 member from every region - yet not

recognized as an official committee --- 1st vote failed

- \* two members from every region & going to be selected based on recommendation by the RADs - part of an application process & natl office will select -- be able to see on all of the categories, even if don't vote
- \* one year commitment
- \* will go into effect at NACURH

3) OTM category changes - NACURH 05 will go into affect --- May OTMs under old criteria

- \* community & NRHH chapter up for changes
- \* NRHH to organization of the month - so any RHA or councils can be nominated --- how does it benefit the students is main criteria
- \* community: this is where organizations fit before -- now it must be an actual living community

4) Add a 1st yr experience OTM: voted down (again)

5) increase in NRHH fees - to be discussed at NACURH

- \* \$ could go to a scholarship
- \* would not affect this year, proposed for \$30 & tabled to committee
- \* UMR does their own scholarship & get money from alumni
- \* 95 was the last time dues were raised
- \* NDSU has scholarship, but would not be affected by due change
- \* Mizzou trying to start a scholarship, but think this is ok
- \* CMSU: more scholarships are good
- \* NDSU: how long until could give scholarship
  - + could take 3-4 years
- \* KSU: those with scholarships - who are they for? Who would this scholarship be for?
  - + varies
  - + extra \$5 just for scholarship, would be for is up for discussion
- \* UNL: should not go to NRHH members so can recognize others --- against whole idea of increase or scholarship in general
- \* NDSU: have for up & coming who are not NRHH would not be fair
- \* how do we get the money for a scholarship if we don't raise fees?
  - + ask OCM
  - + economy has changed & fees haven't gone up since 95 -- natl office does a lot and increase should happen
  - + ask chapters to donate
  - + do fundraisers -- sell items
  - + asking chapters to donate is difficult since every group has different amounts
- \* money could go to tech fees to help natl office since they do a lot of fundraisers
- \* how big would the scholarship be?
- \* part of having a scholarship is taking awhile to build it up
- \* this is about recognition not the dollar amount

6) OTM process -- speeding up of the timeline -- goes into affect after NACURH

- \* changes the due dates to be earlier
- \* regional - due on the 10th of the month from the 15th

- \* national due by the 17th --- will have to have graded by the 25th of the month & sent out on the listserv
- \* starts June
- \* local grading is now available on the database

Policy book has been updated including:

- time frame for the awards
- how do the bids get judged? Explanation got put in
- OTM section already needs to be updated at NACURH along with the categories
- logo is official
- RAD NRHH responsible for the website
- working on running history of NRHH at the regional level

### **General Discussion:**

Issues with structure-

- set expectations for positions
- have a transition period for new officers
- save everything electronically - no matter what it is --- share an office with RHA so use that computer - also have a filing system in housing office
- collect the stuff from out going officers before or as the new ones are elected --- have shadow with the new one
- president in training --- so don't lose the knowledge - compile one binder that is kept in the office

Need help with recruitment - only 4 members

- use nomination process
- make the nomination process easier
- get professional staff on board
- ride it out
- inductions vary on each campus - no national standards
- get core group of people together and treat almost like a new chapter -- hand pick & make process simpler

Ways to recognize members

- give fun awards to current members
- weekly meetings & have something for members - feel a part of something -- give them stuff at meetings --- builds community & let's know exects thinking about them
- everyone has numbers & draw them for random prizes at meetings
- traveling mug
- laundry lottery --- if answer the question in the minutes then you get the quarters
- U rock award --- passed each week & add something to the box that represents what you think NRHH is about
- trivia in the minutes - those who answers 1st get a gift certificate
- put info on campus marquee